



# The Poincaré series of a simple complete ideal of a two-dimensional regular local ring

K. Kiyek<sup>a,\*</sup>, J.J. Moyano-Fernández<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institut für Mathematik, Universität Paderborn, Warburgerstrasse 100, D-33098 Paderborn, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Institut für Mathematik, Universität Osnabrück, Albrechtstrasse 28a, D-49076 Osnabrück, Germany

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 2 December 2008

Received in revised form 28 December 2008

Available online 8 February 2009

Communicated by A.V. Geramita

### MSC:

13H05

13B22

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this work is to introduce both a classical and a motivic Poincaré series associated with a residually rational simple complete  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $\wp$  of a two-dimensional regular local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ . We describe them in terms of the generators of the value semigroup of  $\wp$ , and compare them with the Poincaré series arising from a general element  $f$  for  $\wp$ .

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to introduce both a classical and a motivic Poincaré series associated with a simple complete ideal  $\wp$  of a regular local ring  $R$  of dimension 2. Galindo [1] treated the classical Poincaré series, i.e. the case where the residue field of  $R$  is algebraically closed. We prove—among other things—a corresponding result for the case of an infinite residue field—using other methods.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains a brief summary of the main concepts and results in the theory of simple complete ideals of two-dimensional regular local rings. Section 3 deals with the concept of the semigroup  $\Gamma_\wp$  of  $\wp$  and its Poincaré series  $P_{\Gamma_\wp}(t)$ . In Section 4 we introduce the notion of Poincaré series  $P_\wp(t)$  of  $\wp$ , we show that it is a rational function, describe it in terms of a minimal system of generators of  $\Gamma_\wp$  (cf. Theorem 4.9), and give its functional equation (cf. Proposition 4.10). Also, we express  $P_\wp(t)$  as a rational function with a denominator which depends only on the multiplicity of  $\wp$  (cf. Proposition 4.14), and prove in Corollary 4.15 that the following data are equivalent: the Poincaré series  $P_\wp(t)$  and the multiplicity of  $\wp$ ; the series  $P_{\Gamma_\wp}(t)$ ; and the semigroup  $\Gamma_\wp$ . Section 5 establishes the relation between  $P_\wp(t)$  and the Poincaré series of a general element  $f$  for  $\wp$ , say  $\bar{P}_f(t)$ . Finally, in Section 6 we introduce a motivic version of  $P_\wp(t)$  and proceed with a similar study.

## 2. Generalities about simple ideals

For notations, proofs and further details used in this section, the reader should refer to [2], Chapter VII, or [3], Appendix 5.

### 2.1

Let  $R$  be a two-dimensional regular local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , residue field  $k$  and quotient field  $K$ . We say—following Zariski—that an ideal of  $R$  is complete if it is an integrally closed ideal of  $R$ . A non-zero  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary complete ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $R$  is

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 5251 602633; fax: +49 5251 603734.

E-mail addresses: [karlh@math.upb.de](mailto:karlh@math.upb.de) (K. Kiyek), [jmoyano@mathematik.uni-osnabrueck.de](mailto:jmoyano@mathematik.uni-osnabrueck.de) (J.J. Moyano-Fernández).

called simple if it is not the product of two proper ideals of  $R$ . Zariski showed: every non-zero  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary complete ideal of  $R$  can be written in a unique way as a product of simple complete  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals of  $R$  (cf. [3], App. 5, Theorem 3; also [2], Ch. VII, Theorem 4.17).

## 2.2

Let  $\wp \neq \mathfrak{m}$  be a simple complete  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $R$  (in the rest of this paper, such an ideal is, for the sake of simplicity, called a simple ideal). Such an ideal determines a sequence

$$R_0 := R \subsetneq R_1 \subsetneq R_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq R_h =: S \quad (1)$$

such that:

- $R_1, \dots, R_h$  are two-dimensional regular local rings with quotient field  $K$ ,
- for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ , the ring  $R_j$  is a quadratic transform of  $R_{j-1}$ ,
- the residue field  $k_j$  of  $R_j$  is a finite extension of  $k$  whose degree will be denoted by  $[R_j : R]$ .

The sequence (1) is called the *quadratic sequence determined by  $\wp$* . We say that  $\wp$  is a simple ideal of rank  $h$  (cf. [2], Ch. VII, (5.1)).

We set  $f_\wp := [R_h : R]$ . If  $f_\wp = 1$ , then the ideal  $\wp$  is said to be *residually rational*. We shall assume in the sequel that the ideal  $\wp$  is *residually rational*.

## 2.3

The order function  $\text{ord}_S$  of  $S$  gives rise to a discrete valuation of  $K$  of rank 1 which will be denoted by  $v_\wp = v$ . We consider  $v$  as a map  $v: K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We have  $v(x) = \infty$  only for  $x = 0$ . Let us denote by  $V$  the valuation ring of  $v$ . Then  $V$  dominates  $R$  and, for  $j \in \{1, \dots, h\}$ ,  $V$  dominates  $R_j$ ; the ring  $R_j$  is the only quadratic transform of  $R_{j-1}$  which is contained in  $V$ .

## 2.4

Let  $\mathfrak{a} \neq (0)$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Now  $\mathfrak{a}$  is finitely generated, and so  $v(\mathfrak{a}) := \min\{v(z) \mid z \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \{0\}\}$  is well-defined. Remember that a non-zero ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $R$  is a  $v$ -ideal if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied (see [2], B(6.12)):

- (1)  $\mathfrak{a}V \cap R = \mathfrak{a}$ ;
- (2)  $\mathfrak{a} = \{z \in R \mid v(z) \geq v(\mathfrak{a})\}$ .

In particular, let  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ; then  $\{z \in R \mid v(z) \geq m\}$  is a  $v$ -ideal. Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a  $v$ -ideal, then the  $v$ -ideal

$$\mathfrak{a}^+ := \{z \in R \mid v(z) \geq v(\mathfrak{a}) + 1\}$$

is called the *immediate  $v$ -successor* of  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

Every  $v$ -ideal of  $R$  is a complete  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal (cf. [3], Appendix 4, p. 353; also [2], B(6.16)(2)).

## 2.5

We consider the quadratic sequence (1) of 2.2 determined by  $\wp$ . For each  $j \in \{0, \dots, h\}$ , there exists a unique simple ideal  $\wp_j$  of  $R$  with  $\wp_j^{R_j} = \mathfrak{m}(R_j)$ , where  $\wp_j^{R_j}$  is the transform of  $\wp_j$  in  $R_j$  and  $\mathfrak{m}(R_j)$  is the maximal ideal of  $R_j$  (cf. [2], Ch. VII, (5.3)). Note that  $\wp_h = \wp$  and  $\wp_0 = \mathfrak{m}$ . We have a chain of simple ideals of  $R$ , namely

$$\mathfrak{m} = \wp_0 \supsetneq \wp_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq \wp_h = \wp,$$

and the ideals  $\wp_0, \dots, \wp_{h-1}$  are  $v$ -ideals of  $R$  (they are called the *predecessors* of  $\wp$ ), hence complete; every power of  $\wp$  is a  $v$ -ideal and every  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary  $v$ -ideal of  $R$  is a product of these  $h + 1$  simple  $v$ -ideals (cf. [2], Ch. VII, (5.3)).

From now on, we assume that the residue field  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$  is infinite. The results mentioned in Propositions 2.6 and 2.8 below are due to Noh (see Theorem 3.1 in [4]):

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a  $v$ -ideal, then, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}\wp^n$  is also a  $v$ -ideal.*

**Notation 2.7.** For any complete  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $R$  we denote by  $\delta_\wp(\mathfrak{a})$  the non-negative integer such that  $\wp^{\delta_\wp(\mathfrak{a})}$  divides  $\mathfrak{a}$  but  $\wp^{\delta_\wp(\mathfrak{a})+1}$  does not divide  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

Let us denote by  $\lambda_R(\cdot)$  the length of an  $R$ -module. The number  $\delta_\wp(\mathfrak{a})$  measures the following length:

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a non-zero proper  $v$ -ideal of  $R$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  be its immediate  $v$ -successor. Then one has*

$$\lambda_R(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^+) = \delta_\wp(\mathfrak{a}) + 1.$$

**Note 2.9.** Noh proved these results for  $k$  algebraically closed. The proof is based on the existence of a minimal reduction of  $\wp$ , which is still true if  $k$  is infinite (cf. [5]). It is not difficult to generalize Noh's proof using the fact that  $\wp$  is residually rational (for a proof, cf. [6]).

### 3. The semigroup of a simple ideal

#### 3.1

The discrete valuation  $\nu$  of  $K$  arising from the order function of  $S$  (see 2.2) defines a semigroup

$$\Gamma_{\wp} := \{\nu(z) \mid z \in R \setminus \{0\}\} \subset \mathbb{N}_0,$$

which is called the *value semigroup* of  $\wp$ . Since  $K$  is the quotient field of  $V$  and  $R$ , there exist  $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\nu(a) - \nu(b) = 1$ , hence  $\Gamma_{\wp}$  is a numerical semigroup, i.e., the greatest common divisor of its elements is 1; equivalently, it has a conductor  $c_{\wp}$ . Therefore  $\Gamma_{\wp}$  is finitely generated. Notice that  $c_{\wp}$  is the  $\nu$ -value of the adjoint ideal of  $\wp$  (cf. [7], Corollary (2.2.1)). This semigroup was studied by Noh [8] and Spivakovsky [9] for  $k$  an algebraically closed field, and by Lipman [7] and Greco and Kiyek [10,11] when  $\wp$  is residually rational. The semigroup  $\Gamma_{\wp}$  is symmetric (different proofs of this fact are given in [8,7] and [11]).

#### 3.2

Furthermore, one can easily find a system of generators of  $\Gamma_{\wp}$ . We have  $h = \text{rank}(\wp)$ , and we set  $e := \nu(\wp)$ . Let  $\wp_0 = \mathfrak{m}, \wp_1, \dots, \wp_{h-1}$  be the predecessors of the ideal  $\wp = \wp_h$ . We set:

$$s_i := \nu(\wp_i) \quad \text{for } i \in \{0, \dots, h-1\},$$

$$s_h := e;$$

then  $\Gamma_{\wp}$  is generated by  $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_h$  (cf. 2.5). But this is not a minimal system of generators of  $\Gamma_{\wp}$ .

#### 3.3

We use the results of [10]. From the Hamburger–Noether tableau of  $\wp$ , one can construct a system of generators  $\{r_0, \dots, r_h\}$  of  $\Gamma_{\wp}$ , cf. loc. cit., (8.6), and from this the minimal system of generators  $\{\rho_0, \dots, \rho_g\}$  of  $\Gamma_{\wp}$ , cf. loc. cit., (8.7). Setting

$$\theta_0 := \rho_0, \quad \theta_{i+1} := \gcd(\rho_0, \dots, \rho_i) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, g\},$$

$$n_i := \frac{\theta_i}{\theta_{i+1}} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, g\},$$

we have

$$\theta_1 > \theta_2 > \dots > \theta_{g+1} = 1, \quad \rho_{i+1} > n_i \rho_i \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, g-1\}, \quad (*)$$

and every  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\wp}$  has a unique representation

$$\gamma = u_0 \rho_0 + u_1 \rho_1 + \dots + u_g \rho_g$$

with non-negative integers  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_g$  and  $u_i < n_i$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ , cf. loc. cit., (8.3). We say that  $\Gamma_{\wp}$  is strictly generated by  $\{\rho_0, \dots, \rho_g\}$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a numerical semigroup, and let  $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_g\}$  be the minimal system of generators of  $\Gamma$ . If  $(*)$  in 3.3 is satisfied, then  $\Gamma$  is said to have a *strong growth*; such a semigroup is strictly generated by  $\{\rho_0, \dots, \rho_g\}$  (in the sense of 3.3).

**Proposition 3.5.** (a) *We have*

1. *The semigroup of a simple ideal has a strong growth.*
2. *The semigroup of a plane irreducible algebroid curve over an algebraically closed field has a strong growth.*

(b) *A numerical semigroup with a strong growth can be realized as the value semigroup of a simple ideal, and also as the value semigroup of a plane irreducible algebroid curve over an algebraically closed field.*

**Proof.** The assertions regarding simple ideals follow from [10], (8.17) and (8.18); the first author of this paper takes the opportunity to correct an omission in that paper: We must also add the condition  $\theta_1 > \dots > \theta_{g+1} = 1$ .

The assertions regarding plane irreducible algebroid curves follow from [12], Satz 2 and Satz 6.  $\square$

### 3.6

The conductor  $c_{\wp}$  of  $\Gamma_{\wp}$  can be written in terms of the minimal system of generators of  $\Gamma_{\wp}$  as follows (cf. [11], Proposition 2.7):

$$c_{\wp} = -\rho_0 + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^g \rho_i(n_i - 1).$$

**Definition 3.7.** With notation as in Section 2, the ideal  $\wp$  is said to be an  $s$ -ideal if  $S$  is proximate to  $R_i$  for some  $i \in \{0, \dots, h-2\}$ , i.e., if  $S$  is satellite with respect to  $R$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** The following properties hold:

- (i)  $e \geq n_g \rho_g$ , and so  $v(\wp) \notin \{\rho_0, \dots, \rho_g\}$  (cf. [10], (8.8)(2)).
- (ii)  $\wp$  is an  $s$ -ideal if and only if  $e = n_g \rho_g$  (cf. [10], (9.6)).

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a numerical semigroup. The Poincaré series associated with  $\Gamma$  is the formal power series

$$P_{\Gamma}(t) := \sum_{n \in \Gamma} t^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]].$$

**Proposition 3.10.** We assume that the semigroup  $\Gamma$  has a strong growth. Then, with notations as in Definition 3.4,  $P_{\Gamma}(t)$  is a rational function; we have

$$P_{\Gamma}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t^{\rho_0}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^g \frac{1-t^{n_i \rho_i}}{1-t^{\rho_i}}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $\Gamma$  has a strong growth, every  $n \in \Gamma$  can be written uniquely as a linear combination  $n = u_0 \rho_0 + \dots + u_g \rho_g$ , where  $u_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $0 \leq u_i < n_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ , and we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \Gamma_{\wp}} t^n &= \sum_{\substack{u_0 \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq u_i < n_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq g}} t^{u_0 \rho_0 + \dots + u_g \rho_g} \\ &= \left( \sum_{u_0 \geq 0} t^{u_0 \rho_0} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{0 \leq u_1 < n_1} t^{u_1 \rho_1} \right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left( \sum_{0 \leq u_g < n_g} t^{u_g \rho_g} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-t^{\rho_0}} \cdot \frac{1-t^{n_1 \rho_1}}{1-t^{\rho_1}} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{1-t^{n_g \rho_g}}{1-t^{\rho_g}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

## 4. The Poincaré series of a simple ideal

### 4.1

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we set

$$I_{\wp}(n) := \{z \in R \mid v(z) \geq n\};$$

the ideal  $I_{\wp}(n)$  is a  $v$ -ideal of  $R$ . Notice that if  $n \in \Gamma_{\wp}$ , then  $v(I_{\wp}(n)) = n$ . Since  $v(\mathfrak{m}I_{\wp}(n)) \geq n+1$ , we have  $\mathfrak{m}I_{\wp}(n) \subset I_{\wp}(n+1)$ , hence the length

$$d_{\wp}(n) := \lambda_R(I_{\wp}(n)/I_{\wp}(n+1)) = \dim_k(I_{\wp}(n)/I_{\wp}(n+1))$$

is finite. Furthermore, we set

$$\delta_{\wp}(n) := \delta_{\wp}(I_{\wp}(n)).$$

**Lemma 4.2.** We have

$$I_{\wp}(n+e) = \wp I_{\wp}(n), \tag{*}$$

$$\delta_{\wp}(n+e) = \delta_{\wp}(n) + 1. \tag{**}$$

**Proof.** (\*) follows from the fact that  $\wp I_{\wp}(n)$  is a  $v$ -ideal, by Proposition 2.6. (\*\*) is an immediate consequence of the definition of  $\delta_{\wp}(n)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $\wp$  be a simple ideal of  $R$ . The formal power series

$$P_{\wp}(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} d_{\wp}(n)t^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$$

is called the *Poincaré series* of  $\wp$ .

The coefficients of  $P_{\wp}(t)$  can be described in terms of  $\wp$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have

$$d_{\wp}(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \notin \Gamma_{\wp}, \\ \delta_{\wp}(n) + 1 & \text{if } n \in \Gamma_{\wp}. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** If  $n \notin \Gamma_{\wp}$ , then for any  $z \in I_{\wp}(n)$  one has that both  $\nu(z) \geq n$  and  $\nu(z) \geq n+1$ , hence  $I_{\wp}(n)/I_{\wp}(n+1) = 0$ . If  $n \in \Gamma_{\wp}$ , then the ideal  $I_{\wp}(n+1)$  is the immediate  $\nu$ -successor of  $I_{\wp}(n)$  and we apply Proposition 2.8 to obtain the result.  $\square$

#### 4.5

Let  $n \in \Gamma_{\wp}$ . We can express  $n$  as a linear combination of the elements  $s_0, \dots, s_h$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . We choose a combination where the coefficient of  $s_h$  is maximal, i.e., we write

$$n = z_0(n)s_0 + \dots + z_{h-1}(n)s_{h-1} + z_h(n)s_h$$

with  $z_i(n) \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $z_h(n)$  maximal.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then we have

$$z_h(n) = \delta_{\wp}(n).$$

**Proof.** The element  $n - z_h(n)e$  belongs to  $\Gamma_{\wp}$ . Then  $I_{\wp}(n - z_h(n)e)$  is a  $\nu$ -ideal with

$$\nu(I_{\wp}(n - z_h(n)e)) = n - z_h(n)e.$$

The ideal  $\wp^{z_h(n)}I_{\wp}(n - z_h(n)e)$  is also a  $\nu$ -ideal (by Proposition 2.6) with

$$\nu(\wp^{z_h(n)}I_{\wp}(n - z_h(n)e)) = n,$$

and so we have

$$\wp^{z_h(n)}I_{\wp}(n - z_h(n)e) = I_{\wp}(n). \quad (*)$$

On the other hand, we can write (cf. 2.5)

$$I_{\wp}(n - z_h(n)e) = \wp_0^{z'_0} \dots \wp_h^{z'_h}$$

with  $z'_0, \dots, z'_h \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then we get

$$\wp^{z_h(n)}I_{\wp}(n - z_h(n)e) = \wp_0^{z'_0} \dots \wp_h^{z'_h + z_h(n)},$$

and so  $n = z'_0s_0 + \dots + (z'_h + z_h(n))s_h$ . We obtain  $z'_h = 0$  by our choice of  $z_h(n)$ . Therefore we get  $z_h(n) = \delta_{\wp}(n)$ . (To see this notice that  $\delta_{\wp}(n) \geq z_h(n)$  from (\*), and writing  $I_{\wp}(n) = \wp_0^{z''_0} \dots \wp_{h-1}^{z''_{h-1}} \wp_h^{\delta_{\wp}(n)}$  then  $n = z''_0s_0 + \dots + z''_{h-1}s_{h-1} + \delta_{\wp}(n)e$ , therefore  $\delta_{\wp}(n) \leq z_h(n)$ .)  $\square$

#### 4.7

Let  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We set

$$A_{\kappa} := \{\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{\wp}, \gamma - \kappa e \in \Gamma_{\wp}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Note that  $A_0 = \Gamma_{\wp}$ . Let  $\chi_{A_{\kappa}} : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be the characteristic function of  $A_{\kappa}$ , i.e., we have

$$\chi_{A_{\kappa}}(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } z \in A_{\kappa} \\ 0, & \text{if } z \notin A_{\kappa} \end{cases} \quad \text{for every } z \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

**Proposition 4.8.** Let  $n \in \Gamma_{\wp}$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0} \chi_{A_{\kappa}}(n) = \delta_{\wp}(n) + 1.$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.6,  $\delta_{\wp}(n) = z_h(n)$ , i.e.,  $n - ie \in \Gamma_{\wp}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq \delta_{\wp}(n)$  and  $n - (\delta_{\wp}(n) + 1)e \notin \Gamma_{\wp}$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

We can describe the Poincaré series of  $\wp$  in terms of the generators  $\{\rho_0, \dots, \rho_g\}$  of the value semigroup of  $\wp$ :

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $\wp$  be a simple ideal of  $R$ . Then:

(1) If  $\wp$  is not an  $s$ -ideal, then

$$P_{\wp}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - t^{\rho_0}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^g \frac{1 - t^{n_i \rho_i}}{1 - t^{\rho_i}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - t^e}.$$

(2) If  $\wp$  is an  $s$ -ideal, then

$$P_{\wp}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - t^{\rho_0}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} \frac{1 - t^{n_i \rho_i}}{1 - t^{\rho_i}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - t^{\rho_g}}.$$

In particular, the Poincaré series  $P_{\wp}(t)$  is a rational function.

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.8 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\wp}(t) &= \sum_{n \in \Gamma_{\wp}} (\delta_{\wp}(n) + 1) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n \in \Gamma_{\wp}} \left( \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \chi_{A_{\kappa}}(n) \right) t^n. \end{aligned}$$

(a) For  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have

$$A_{\kappa} = \{n \mid n \in \Gamma_{\wp}, n - \kappa e \in \Gamma_{\wp}\} = \{n + \kappa e \mid n \in \Gamma_{\wp}\}.$$

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\wp}(t) &= \sum_{n \in \Gamma_{\wp}} \left( \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \chi_{A_{\kappa}}(n) \right) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n \in \Gamma_{\wp}} \left( \sum_{\substack{\kappa=0 \\ n \geq \kappa e}}^{\infty} \chi_{A_{\kappa}}(n) \right) t^n \\ &= \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\substack{n \in \Gamma_{\wp} \\ n \geq \kappa e}} \chi_{A_{\kappa}}(n) t^n \right) \end{aligned}$$

(since for  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the inner sum has order  $\geq \kappa$ ). For  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \Gamma_{\wp} \\ n \geq \kappa e}} \chi_{A_{\kappa}}(n) t^n = \sum_{\substack{n \in \Gamma_{\wp} \\ n - \kappa e \in \Gamma_{\wp}}} t^n \stackrel{*}{=} \sum_{n \in \Gamma_{\wp}} t^{n + \kappa e}$$

(for the equality sign at  $*$  use (a)). Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\wp}(t) &= \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n \in \Gamma_{\wp}} t^{n + \kappa e} \right) = \left( \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} t^{\kappa e} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{n \in \Gamma_{\wp}} t^n \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - t^e} \cdot \sum_{n \in \Gamma_{\wp}} t^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the second factor of this product. Following the reasonings in the proof of Proposition 3.10 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\wp}(t) &= \frac{1}{1 - t^e} \cdot \sum_{n \in \Gamma_{\wp}} t^n \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - t^e} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - t^{\rho_0}} \cdot \frac{1 - t^{n_1 \rho_1}}{1 - t^{\rho_1}} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{1 - t^{n_g \rho_g}}{1 - t^{\rho_g}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\dagger)$$

This is the assertion in (1). If  $\wp$  is an  $s$ -ideal, then  $e = n_g \rho_g$  and in  $(\dagger)$  the term  $1 - t^{n_g \rho_g}$  cancels with  $1 - t^e$ , and we get the assertion in (2).  $\square$

Notice that a geometric version (using divisorial valuations and algebraically closed residue fields) of this result was given in [1].

**Proposition 4.10.** *We have*

$$P_{\wp}(t^{-1}) = t^{e-c_{\wp}+1} \cdot P_{\wp}(t).$$

**Proof.** If  $\wp$  is an  $s$ -ideal, then by Theorem 4.9(2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\wp}(t^{-1}) &= \frac{t^{\rho_0}}{t^{\rho_0}-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{g-1} \frac{(t^{n_i \rho_i} - 1)t^{\rho_i}}{(t^{\rho_i} - 1)t^{n_i \rho_i}} \cdot \frac{t^{\rho_g}}{t^{\rho_g}-1} \\ &= t^{\rho_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \rho_i(1-n_i) + \rho_g} \cdot P_{\wp}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $e = n_g \rho_g$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\wp} &= -\rho_0 + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^g \rho_i(n_i - 1) \\ &= -\rho_0 + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \rho_i(n_i - 1) + e - \rho_g \end{aligned}$$

(the first equality is the formula of the conductor given in 3.6). Now

$$\rho_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \rho_i(1 - n_i) + \rho_g = e - c_{\wp} + 1;$$

this proves the assertion.

If  $\wp$  is not an  $s$ -ideal, then by Theorem 4.9(1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\wp}(t^{-1}) &= \frac{t^{\rho_0}}{t^{\rho_0}-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^g \frac{(t^{n_i \rho_i} - 1)t^{\rho_i}}{(t^{\rho_i} - 1)t^{n_i \rho_i}} \cdot \frac{t^e}{t^e-1} \\ &= t^{\rho_0 + \sum_{i=1}^g \rho_i(1-n_i) + e} \cdot P_{\wp}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$1 - c_{\wp} = \rho_0 + \sum_{i=1}^g \rho_i(1 - n_i),$$

hence

$$\rho_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \rho_i(1 - n_i) + \rho_g = e - c_{\wp} + 1,$$

and the assertion follows.  $\square$

#### 4.11

As the semigroup  $\Gamma_{\wp}$  has a strong growth (cf. Proposition 3.5), we can calculate the Poincaré series  $P_{\Gamma_{\wp}}(t)$  associated with  $\Gamma_{\wp}$ . In the two cases of the above Theorem 4.9 (namely, if  $\wp$  is an  $s$ -ideal and  $\wp$  is not an  $s$ -ideal) we obtain

$$P_{\wp}(t) = (1 - t^e)^{-1} \cdot P_{\Gamma_{\wp}}(t)$$

[remember that  $e = n_g \rho_g$  if and only if  $\wp$  is an  $s$ -ideal].

**Corollary 4.12.** [Functional equation for  $P_{\Gamma_{\wp}}(t)$ ]

$$P_{\Gamma_{\wp}}(t^{-1}) = -t^{-c_{\wp}+1} \cdot P_{\Gamma_{\wp}}(t).$$

**Proof.** This is an easy consequence of 4.11 and Proposition 4.10.  $\square$

#### 4.13

We set

$$A_{\wp}(t) := \sum_{\substack{n \in I_{\wp} \\ n < c_{\wp}}} t^n,$$

$$\Lambda_{\wp}(t) := t^{c_{\wp}} + (1-t)A_{\wp}(t);$$

then we have

$$P_{\Gamma_{\wp}}(t) = A_{\wp}(t) + \frac{t^{c_{\wp}}}{1-t}.$$

**Proposition 4.14.** *We can express the Poincaré series of  $\wp$  as*

$$P_{\wp}(t) = \frac{\Lambda_{\wp}(t)}{(1-t)(1-t^e)}.$$

**Proof.** By 4.13 we get

$$P_{\Gamma_{\wp}}(t) = \frac{\Lambda_{\wp}(t)}{1-t};$$

applying now 4.11 we are done.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.15.** *The following data are equivalent:*

- (1) *The Poincaré series  $P_{\wp}(t)$  and the multiplicity  $e = v(\wp)$ .*
- (2) *The Poincaré series  $P_{\Gamma_{\wp}}(t)$ .*
- (3) *The value semigroup  $\Gamma_{\wp}$ .*

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): We have (cf. 4.11)

$$P_{\Gamma_{\wp}}(t) = (1-t^e)P_{\wp}(t).$$

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3): This follows from the definition of  $P_{\Gamma_{\wp}}(t)$  (cf. Definition 3.9).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Given  $\Gamma_{\wp}$ , we construct a Hamburger–Noether Tableau as in [10], (8.19); then

$$e = q_1\theta_1 + \cdots + q_g\theta_g$$

by loc. cit., (7.12).  $\square$

### 5. General elements and Poincaré series

#### 5.1

Let  $f \in R$  be a general element for  $\wp$  (for the definition of a *general element* we refer to [10], Definition (3.11)) and consider  $\bar{R} := R/fR$ . This ring is a one-dimensional local domain with maximal ideal  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{m}/fR$ . As  $f$  is analytically irreducible (cf. [10], Corollary (4.10)),  $\bar{R}$  is analytically irreducible, hence the integral closure  $\bar{V}$  of  $\bar{R}$  is a discrete valuation ring; let  $\bar{v}$  be the discrete valuation of the quotient field of  $\bar{R}$  determined by  $\bar{V}$ .

#### 5.2

Let  $\bar{\Gamma}_f$  be the semigroup  $\bar{v}(\bar{R} \setminus \{0\})$ . The semigroups  $\Gamma_{\wp}$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}_f$  coincide (cf. [10], Theorem 8.16).

#### 5.3

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and define the ideal

$$\bar{J}(n) := \{z \in \bar{R} \mid \bar{v}(z) \geq n\}.$$

The length  $\bar{d}(n) := \lambda_R(\bar{J}(n)/\bar{J}(n+1))$  is finite (cf. [13], (3.1)); the formal power series

$$\bar{P}_f(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \bar{d}(n)t^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$$

is called the *Poincaré series associated with the general element  $f$  for  $\wp$* .



We want to compare the Poincaré series  $P_{\wp}(t)$  and  $\bar{P}_f(t)$ .

**Notation 5.4.** Let us consider the chain of quadratic transforms in 2.2 (1). Let  $g$  be a generator of the ideal  $(fR)^S$  in  $S$ . Then  $S/gS = \bar{V}$  (by [2], Ch. VIII, (8.5)). Both rings  $R$  and  $\bar{R}$  have the same residue field  $k$ , and since  $\wp$  is assumed to be residually rational,  $k$  is the residue field of  $\bar{V}$ . Hence we can apply [13], (3.2)(4) and (3.4), in order to show the following: We have

$$\bar{d}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for every } n \in \bar{T}_f, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 5.5.** Let  $f$  be a general element for  $\wp$ . Then we have

$$\bar{P}_f(t) = P_{\Gamma_{\wp}}(t).$$

In particular, the Poincaré series associated with the general element  $f$  depends only on the semigroup  $\Gamma_{\wp}$ .

**Proof.** This follows immediately from the last assertion in Notation 5.4, from 5.2 and from the definition of the power series  $P_{\Gamma_{\wp}}(t)$  associated with  $\Gamma_{\wp}$ .  $\square$

## 6. A motivic version of the Poincaré series

### 6.1

Let  $k$  be a perfect field. Let  $\mathcal{V}_k$  be the category of quasi-projective reduced schemes of finite type over  $k$ . The Grothendieck ring of  $\mathcal{V}_k$ , denoted by  $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$ , is defined to be the free Abelian group on isomorphism classes  $[X]$  of schemes  $X \in \mathcal{V}_k$ , subject to the following relation (cf. [14,15]):

(1)  $[X] = [X \setminus Z] + [Z]$  for any closed reduced subscheme  $Z$  of  $X \in \mathcal{V}_k$ ,

and taking the fiber product as multiplication<sup>1</sup>, i.e., one defines

(2)  $[X_1] \cdot [X_2] := [X_1 \times_k X_2]$  for  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{V}_k$ .

**Remark 6.2.** The neutral element of  $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$  with respect to the addition will be denoted by 0; it is the class  $[\emptyset]$  of the empty set.

The Grothendieck ring  $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$  is a commutative ring with unit, the unit being the class of  $\text{Spec}(k)$ .

### 6.3

Let  $k[T]$  be the polynomial ring in one indeterminate  $T$  over the field  $k$ . The affine scheme  $\text{Spec}(k[T])$  over  $k$  is the affine line over  $k$ , which sometimes will be denoted by  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ . The class of the affine line in  $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$  is called the Lefschetz class of  $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$ , and is denoted by  $\mathbb{L}$ .

### 6.4

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we define  $\pi_n(\mathbb{L}) \in K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$  by

$$\pi_n(\mathbb{L}) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 1 + \mathbb{L} + \mathbb{L}^2 + \cdots + \mathbb{L}^{n-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 6.5.** Notice that  $\pi_n(\mathbb{L}) - \mathbb{L} \cdot \pi_{n-1}(\mathbb{L}) = 1$  for every  $n \geq 1$ .

### 6.6

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space, and let  $\mathbb{P}V$  be the projective space associated with  $V$ ; then

$$[\mathbb{P}V] = \pi_{\dim_k(V)}(\mathbb{L}) \in K_0(\mathcal{V}_k).$$

Since the  $R$ -module  $I_{\wp}(n)/I_{\wp}(n+1)$  is a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space, we can take its projectivization (under the action of  $k \setminus \{0\}$ ), and also the class of this projective space in the Grothendieck ring. Inspired by the notion of generalized semigroup Poincaré series (see [16], Definition on pg. 201), we can define a Poincaré series of motivic nature in our context of simple ideals by setting

$$\hat{P}_{\wp}(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} [\mathbb{P}(I_{\wp}(n)/I_{\wp}(n+1))] t^n \in K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)[[t]],$$

<sup>1</sup> Note that  $X_1 \times_k X_2$  is reduced if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are reduced since  $k$  is a perfect field.

a formal power series with coefficients in the Grothendieck ring  $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$ . Since  $\wp$  is residually rational, we have  $d_\wp(n) = \dim_k(I_\wp(n)/I_\wp(n+1))$  and therefore

$$\left[\mathbb{P}(I_\wp(n)/I_\wp(n+1))\right] = \pi_{d_\wp(n)}(\mathbb{L}) \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

This implies that the Poincaré series  $\widehat{P}_\wp(t)$  can be expressed as:

$$\widehat{P}_\wp(t) = \sum_{n \in \Gamma_\wp} \pi_{d_\wp(n)}(\mathbb{L}) t^n.$$

It can be also described by means of the generators  $\{\rho_0, \dots, \rho_g\}$  of the semigroup  $\Gamma_\wp$ , and therefore it can be related to the Poincaré series  $P_{\Gamma_\wp}(t)$ ; this shall be the result of the next proposition.

**Proposition 6.7.** *We have*

$$\widehat{P}_\wp(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{L}t^e} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - t^{\rho_0}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^g \frac{1 - t^{n_i \rho_i}}{1 - t^{\rho_i}}.$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 2.8 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_R(I_\wp(n)/I_\wp(n+1)) &= \delta_\wp(n) + 1 \\ &= \delta_\wp(n+e) \\ &= \lambda_R(I_\wp(n+e)/I_\wp(n+e+1)) - 1 \\ &= \lambda_R(\wp I_\wp(n)/\wp I_\wp(n+1)) - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{†}$$

Then we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \mathbb{L}t^e)\widehat{P}_\wp(t) &= (1 - \mathbb{L}t^e) \sum_{n \in \Gamma_\wp} \pi_{d_\wp(n)}(\mathbb{L}) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n \in \Gamma_\wp} \pi_{d_\wp(n)}(\mathbb{L}) t^n - \sum_{n \in \Gamma_\wp} \mathbb{L} \cdot \pi_{d_\wp(n)}(\mathbb{L}) t^{n+e} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \Gamma_\wp} (\pi_{d_\wp(n)}(\mathbb{L}) - \mathbb{L} \cdot \pi_{d_\wp(n-e)}(\mathbb{L})) t^n \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \sum_{n \in \Gamma_\wp} (\pi_{\delta_\wp(n)+1}(\mathbb{L}) - \mathbb{L} \cdot \pi_{\delta_\wp(n-e)+1}(\mathbb{L})) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n \in \Gamma_\wp} (\pi_{\delta_\wp(n)+1}(\mathbb{L}) - \mathbb{L} \cdot \pi_{\delta_\wp(n)}(\mathbb{L})) t^n \end{aligned}$$

(at  $(*)$ , we use Proposition 2.8 and  $(†)$ ).

By Remark 6.5, we have  $\pi_{\delta_\wp(n)+1}(\mathbb{L}) - \mathbb{L} \cdot \pi_{\delta_\wp(n)}(\mathbb{L}) = 1$ , and we get

$$(1 - \mathbb{L}t^e)\widehat{P}_\wp(t) = \sum_{n \in \Gamma_\wp} t^n = P_{\Gamma_\wp}(t).$$

From Proposition 3.10 for  $\Gamma = \Gamma_\wp$  we get the assertion.  $\square$

As an immediate application of this result and Proposition 5.5 we obtain

**Corollary 6.8.**

$$\widehat{P}_\wp(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{L}t^e} \cdot P_{\Gamma_\wp}(t).$$

Both the relation between  $P_\wp(t)$  and  $\widehat{P}_\wp(t)$  and the functional equation of  $\widehat{P}_\wp(t)$  is also clear:

**Corollary 6.9.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - t^e)P_\wp(t) &= (1 - \mathbb{L}t^e)\widehat{P}_\wp(t), \\ \frac{1 - \mathbb{L}t^e}{1 - \mathbb{L}t^{-e}} \cdot \widehat{P}_\wp(t) &= -t^{c_\wp-1} \cdot \widehat{P}_\wp(t^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

## Acknowledgments

The second author was supported partially by the grant MEC MTM2007-64704, by Junta de CyL VA065A07, and by the grant DAAD-La Caixa. He is also thankful to the University of Paderborn for kind hospitality.

## References

- [1] C. Galindo, On the Poincaré series for a plane divisorial valuation, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belgique, Simon Stevin* 2 (1995) 65–74.
- [2] K. Kiyek, J.L. Vicente, *Resolution of Curve and Surface Singularities in Characteristic Zero*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004.
- [3] O. Zariski, P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra. Volume II*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1960.
- [4] S. Noh, Sequence of valuation ideals of prime divisors of the second kind in 2-dimensional regular local rings, *J. Algebra* 158 (1993) 31–49.
- [5] C. Huneke, Complete ideals in two-dimensional regular local rings, in: *Commutative Algebra, Proceedings of a Microprogram Held June 15–July 2, 1987*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1989, pp. 325–338.
- [6] K. Kiyek, J.L. Vicente, *Resolution of Curve and Surface Singularities in Characteristic Zero. Additional Results*. Manuscript, Paderborn, 2008.
- [7] J. Lipman, Adjoints and polars of simple complete ideals, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belgique, Sér. A* 45 (1993) 223–244.
- [8] S. Noh, The value-semigroups of prime divisors of the second kind in 2-dimensional regular local rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 336 (1993) 607–619.
- [9] M. Spivakovsky, Valuations in function fields of surfaces, *Amer. J. Math.* 112 (1990) 107–156.
- [10] S. Greco, K. Kiyek, General elements of complete ideals and valuations centered at a two-dimensional regular local ring, in: Christensen, et al. (Eds.), *Algebra, Arithmetic and Applications*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2003.
- [11] S. Greco, K. Kiyek, On the value-semigroup of a simple complete ideal in a two dimensional regular local ring. Preprint, [arXiv: math.AC/0712.4329v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.AC/0712.4329v1), 2007, 8 pp.
- [12] G. Angermüller, Die Wertehalbgruppe einer ebenen irreduziblen algebroiden Kurve, *Math. Z.* 153 (1977) 267–282.
- [13] A. Campillo, F. Delgado, K. Kiyek, Gorenstein property and symmetry for one-dimensional local Cohen–Macaulay rings, *Manuscripta Math.* 83 (1994) 405–423.
- [14] J. Denef, F. Loeser, Germs of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration, *Invent. Math.* 135 (1999) 201–232.
- [15] M. Blickle, A short course in geometric motivic integration. Preprint, [arXiv: math.AG/0507404](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.AG/0507404), 2005. 42 pp..
- [16] A. Campillo, F. Delgado, S.M. Gusein-Zade, Multi-index Filtrations and Generalized Poincaré Series, *Monatsh. Math.* 150 (2007) 193–209.